

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
08/646.484	4 05/08/9	6 MOON	В	27951-00023

LM21/0311

EXAMINER THLANG, E

THOMAS L. CRISMAN
JENKENS AND GILCHRIST
3200 FOUNTAIN PLACE
1445 ROSS AVENUE
DALLAS TX 75202-2799

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER
2781

DATE MAILED:

03/11/98

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Advisory Action

Application No.

Applicant(s) 08/646,484

Moon, Billy G.

Examiner

Eric S. Thlang

Group Art Unit 2781



ТН	e peri	OD FOR RESPON	NSE: [check only a) or b)]					
	a) 🗌	expires	months from the mailing date of the final rejection.					
b) (X) expires either three months from the mailing date of the final rejection, or on the mailing date of this Advisory Acis later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for the response expire later than six months from the darejection.								
	Any extension of time must be obtained by filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a), the proposed response and the appropriate fee. date on which the response, the petition, and the fee have been filed is the date of the response and also the date for the purposes determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. Any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.17 will be calculated from the date of the originally set shortened statutory period for response or as set forth in b) above.							
	Appellant's Brief is due two months from the date of the Notice of Appeal filed on (or within any period for response set forth above, whichever is later). See 37 CFR 1.191(d) and 37 CFR 1.192(a).							
Ap but	plicant t is NO	's response to th T deemed to pla	e final rejection, filed on <u>Oct 16, 1997</u> has ce the application in condition for allowance:	s been considered with the follo	wing effect,			
X	The pr	oposed amendm	ent(s):					
	☐ wi	Il be entered upo	on filing of a Notice of Appeal and an Appeal Brie	f.				
🔀 will not be entered because:								
	X	-	ssues that would require further consideration ar	nd/or search. (See note below).				
		•	sue of new matter. (See note below).					
	they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal.							
	they present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.							
	NOTE: The newly added subject matter in claim 11, 18, and 21 of "capable of operating in both full duplex and half duplex mode", which require further search.							
	—	•	se has overcome the following rejection(s):					
	Newly separ	y proposed or an ate, timely filed a	nended claimsamendment cancelling the non-allowable claims.	would be allowable if s	submitted in a			
X	The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: (see attachment)							
	The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised to the Examiner in the final rejection.							
X	For purposes of Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any): Claims allowed: Claims objected to: Claims rejected: 11-21							
	The p	roposed drawing	correction filed on has	has not been approved by	the Examiner.			
	Note	the attached Info	ormation Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Pag	per No(s).				
	Other			AYAZ R. SH SUPERVISORY PATE GROUP 27	NT EXAMINER			

Part III DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

- 1. Applicant's arguments filled February 27, 1998 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- 2. The applicant's arguments with respect to the cited prior art are addressed as follows:
- A. The examiner indicated that the amendments to Claim 11 comprised newly added subject matter which would require further search.
- B. However, the Examiner provided no indication as to whether the amendments with respect to Claims 18 and 21 were given consideration. Applicant does not believe that these amendments would require a new such and respectfully submits that these amendments, along with the arguments made with respect to the Hamilton reference in the previously filed amendment, sufficiently distinguish these claims over the art of record and respectfully requests a Notice of Allowance.

As per independent claims 18 and 21, Examiner do believe that Hamilton (5,175,766) reference teaches or read on all claimed limitations in claim 1 previous rejection, and furthermore teaches:

(a) means for storing data to be transmitted by the transmitter, the means for storing generating an indication when data for transmission is contained therein (Figs. A-C; col. 27, lines 21-28; col. 29, lines 20-68);

Serial Number: 08/518,483 Art Unit: 2781

- (b) receiver control circuitry for disabling the receiver in response to at least one of the indications of whether the apparatus is in a full duplex mode, the indication of whether the receiver enable flag is set and the indication of whether the means for storing contains data for transmission (e.g., see Figs. 112A-C, 120-121, col. 52, lines 45-62); and
- (c) control circuitry for completely disabling a receiver responsive to at least one of the first, second and third indicators (e.g., see Figs. 112A-C, and 120-121).

EST March 8, 1998

AYAZ R. SHEIKH SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER GROUP 2700